

# FRONTPAGEMAG.COM

Home | Jihad Watch | Horowitz | Archive | Columnists | DHFC | Store | Contact | Links | Search

Tuesday, April 12, 2011

FrontPageMag Article





7 Comments and 0 Reactions



Email Article





### The Truth of Interracial Rape in the United States

By: Lawrence Auster

FrontPageMagazine.com | Thursday, May 03, 2007

Like Ahab's search for the Great White Whale, liberals' search for the Great White Defendant is relentless and never-ending. When, in 1988, Tawana Brawley's and Al Sharpton's then year-old spectacular charge that several white men including prosecutor Steven Pagones (whose name Brawley had picked out of a newspaper article) had abducted and raped the 15 year old was shown to be completely false, the Nation said it didn't matter, since the charges expressed the essential nature of white men's treatment of black women in this country. When the Duke University lacrosse players were accused of raping a black stripper last year, liberals everywhere treated the accusation as fact, because, just as with the Nation and Tawana Brawley, the rape charge seemed to the minds of liberals to reflect the true nature of oppressive racial and sexual relations in America.

To see the real truth of the matter, let us take a look at the Department of Justice document Criminal Victimization in the United States, 2005. (Go to the linked document, and under "Victims and Offenders" download the pdf file for 2005.)

In Table 42, entitled "Personal crimes of violence, 2005, percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, based on race of victims, by type of crime and perceived race of offender," we learn that there were 111,590 white victims and 36,620 black victims of rape or sexual assault in 2005. (The number of rapes is not distinguished from those of sexual assaults; it is maddening that sexual assault, an ill-defined category that covers various types of criminal acts ranging from penetration to inappropriate touching, is conflated with the more specific crime of rape.) In the 111,590 cases in which the victim of rape or sexual assault was white, 44.5 percent of the offenders were white, and 33.6 percent of the offenders were black. In the 36,620 cases in which the victim of rape or sexual assault was black, 100 percent of the offenders were black, and 0.0 percent of the offenders were white. The table explains that 0.0 percent means that there were under 10 incidents nationally.

The table does not gives statistics for Hispanic victims and offenders. But the bottom line on interracial white/black and black/white rape is clear:

In the United States in 2005, 37,460 white females were sexually assaulted or raped by a black man, while between zero and ten black females were sexually assaulted or raped by a white man.

What this means is that every day in the United States, over one hundred white women are raped or sexually assaulted by a black man.

The Department of Justice statistics refer, of course, to verified reports. According to the Wikipedia article on rape, as many as half of all rape charges nationally are determined by police and prosecutors to be false:

Linda Fairstein, former head of the New York County District Attorney's Sex Crimes Unit, noted, "There are about 4,000 reports of rape each year in Manhattan. Of these, about half simply did not happen.... It's my job to bring justice to the man who has been falsely accused by a woman who has a grudge against him, just as it's my job to prosecute the real thing."

No wonder there was such absolute belief in the guilt of the Duke students among the leading sectors of liberal America. A drug-addled, half-deranged, promiscuous black stripper accused three young white men of raping her. There are virtually zero rapes of black women by white men in the United States, and half of all rape charges against specific individuals turn out to be false. But in the gnostic, inverted world of liberal demonology, the white students had to be guilty.

Meanwhile, in the real America, week after week, the newspapers report the rapes of white women by black men—though, of course, without ever once using the words, "a white woman was raped by black man." Just last week in the New York Post there was a story about a serial black rapist who invaded



## KEEP FRONTPAGE RUNNING! HELP FPM NOW!

STUDENTS FOR ACADEMIC FREEDOM

Academic Freedom

New Report Cites PennState Courses for Violations of its own Academic Freedom Policies. Read more.

SYMPOSIUMS

Symposium: Remembering the Dissident Symposium: The Closing of the American Psyche Symposium: Aviation Security -- in the Future Symposium: Obscene **Hostage Trades** Symposium: Confronting Islamization of the West Symposium: Homegrown

Jihadis Symposium: Islamic Terror and Sexual Mutilation Symposium: A Discussion

**INTERVIEWS** 

on Faith

No Bailout for Jihad Battling with a Billboard The Last Days of CAIR The Singing Revolution **How Hamas Governs Gaza** 

FPM WATCH DOG

Heterodoxy archives: Read back issues here

**AUDIO: Restoration** Weekend audio files.

Stop The ISM StoptheISM.com

**Professors Watch** DangerousProfessors.com

Borders Watch Recruitment Drive to Boost Border Patrol





women's apartments on Manhattan's Upper West Side; you knew the rapist was black from a police drawing accompanying the story, and you knew the victims were most likely white from the neighborhoods where the attacks occurred. But even when news media's reports of black on white rape make the race of the perpetrator evident (which the media only does in a minority of instances), no explicit reference is ever made to the racial aspect of the case. Each story of black on white rape is reported in isolation, not presented as part of a larger pattern. There is never the slightest mention of the fact that white women in this country are being targeted by black rapists. In the inverted world of liberalism, the phenomenon does not exist.


Lawrence Auster is the author of *Erasing America: The Politics of the Borderless Nation*. He offers a traditionalist conservative perspective at View from the Right.

We have implemented a new commenting system. To use it you must login/register with disqus. Registering is simple and can be done while posting this comment itself. Please contact gzenone [at] horowitzfreedomcenter.org if you have any difficulties.



and 10 others liked this.

#### **Add New Comment**



#### Showing 7 comments

Sort by Popular now Subscribe by email Subscribe by RSS

Sxygrls 1 month ago

One wonders why our major media never mentions these vital statistics. We all know that if it were the other way around it would be front page news; there would be protests in the streets, lead by civil rights leaders, pushing for reparations for the black women raped by these white males......and they would get it, because our government, unfortunately, responds to them.

White women have been indoctrinated by the media culture and the public school system that to refuse a black man is racist. So they thwart their gut feelings and put themselves in extreme danger by hanging out with these animals. Perhaps they deserve to be raped.....maybe it will teach them to wise up.

3 people liked this. Like Reply



Bryan 4 months ago

I keep seeing this article pop up on message boards and blogs being used by racists to suggest an overwhelming majority of rape of whites by blacks, so I figured I'd post this hear so that there's an easy to see look at why this can't be used to suggest a preponderance of black-on-white rape.

That table was impossible to find looking up race and rape in the index. Hardly a real analysis of rape though:

Quote:

Rape/Sexual assault/a

Quote:

a/Includes verbal threats of rape and threats of sexual assault.

And that's on top of lumping rape and sexual assault together.

According to the FBI, there were 94,000 forcible rapes in the US in 2005. The total number for all the stats in your table is 10 times that. That alone makes the application of these statistics to determining the number of forcible rapes (including statutory) of whites by blacks nigh impossible. According to RAINN, 59% of rape goes unreported, of which I'd imagine the overwhelming majoirty are rape within a family or by people close to the victim. Given the possible biases created by THAT tidbit, and throw in the probable claim by those who would say that race is not predictive of rape are the same who would suggest that the other unreported cases are largely

#### Jihad Watch

News Updates

# **United American Committee** UAC.com

#### Campus Watch

About Campus Watch Current News Survey of Institutions

#### Media Watch

HonestReporting.com David Limbaugh.com CAMERA.org



those regarding rape between classes and by those in positions of power (a hypothesis we can neither accept nor reject), and we're back to arguing apples vs. ice cream with no data again.

Of course, it's not apples vs. ice cream. It's predictive vs. not predictive variables, and in such a case, statistically speaking, the burden of proof is on those arguing for rejecting the null hypothesis (those saying that race is predictive, or even has a strong correlation with one being a rapist, predictive of rape statistics). I don't have nearly the energy to crunch the numbers, but given the almost total lack of information, I imagine your alpha level would have to be somewhere in the .9s (a 90+% margin of error) to accept even a correlation.


I agree with the author's negative argument that some supposed liberal establishment would be foolish and ignorant to suggest the widespread rape by whites of non-whites. But to make that into a positive argument for the the widespread rape of whites by blacks is just as ignorant. To use these numbers to claim that and to make the claim

#### Quote:

What this means is that every day in the United States, over one hundred white women are raped or sexually assaulted by a black man.

without providing any reasonable point of comparison is unscrupulous.

Sounds like we ALL need to consider the plight of women more seriously if the tip of the iceberg is 100 rapes of white women a day by blacks. Given what little we know about rape alone, the total number for rape/ sexual assault amongst all races has to be astronomical.



It's possible that black men are more likely to be convicted than white men. Stereotypes often do potray black men as sexually crazed. If jurors where randomly selected there would be a greater chance of them being white. Black men possibly commit less rapes than they are found guilty of, and white men possibly commit more than they convicted of.



I think its irresponsible to link it solely to race, rather than culture. There is a large population of young black men who've been programmed to believe that their whims are more important than the rules we all live by....that when they want something, they should just take it, and anyone telling them otherwise is somehow trying to "keep them down". Its not about color, at its roots, its about class vs class, and politically motivated promotion of entitlement mentality. This segment of our political system loves promoting this bullcrap, because it helps recruit easy to control voting blocks, and it helps further their class vs class agenda.

White men typically rape (with some exceptions) with different (albeit just as sick) motivations from black men: self loathing and misplaced lust on one end vs need for control and hateful need for revenge on the other.

Like Reply

Trackback URL http://disqus.com/forums

blog comments powered by **DISQUS** 







Home | Blog | Horowitz | Archives | Columnists | Search | Store | Links | CSPC | Contact | Advertise with Us | Privacy Policy

Copyright©2007 FrontPageMagazine.com